PLANNING FOR ALL HOUSING NEEDS

SNOQUALMIE: A SMALL CITY EXAMPLE

Jonathan Kesler, AICP

Senior Planner, City of Snoqualmie

PROCESS SNOQUALMIE WENT THRU FOR THE LCA:

- ► Info provided to King County was incomplete
- ► Reevaluation of developable land
 - ▶ b/o land status
 - ▶ b/o presence of Critical Areas
- ▶ Update UGC capacity calculation tables
- Assess data for add capacity potential
 - ► Review specific areas

WHAT SNOQUALMIE FOUND IN OUR LCA ANALYSIS:

- Critical Areas/Land Status were inconsistently evaluated
- ► Capacity listed in the UGC report was inaccurate
 - growth targets were an issue
- ► Issues with City achieving growth targets
- ► Capacity shown in flood plain

LESSONS LEARNED TO HELP OTHER JURISDICTIONS:

- Previous decisions can put you in a tough spot,
 - previous data
 - adopted growth targets
- An LCA only be as good as data
 - ► Inaccurate underlying data = problems
- Ground truth of the findings
 - ► From previous analysis
- Verify assumptions to see if correct
- ► Use local knowledge
 - ensure the analysis = what is feasible

QUESTIONS SNOQUALMIE IS STILL WORKING THRU:

- ► Negotiating growth target reconciliation
- ▶ Planning for Contingent Capacity in the PAA
- ► Planning for ADU capacity

NEXT STEPS FOR SNOQUALMIE

- Delineating housing needs and capacity
 - ▶ By income bracket
- ► LCA outcomes assist updating Comp Plan
 - Update policies in Housing
 - ► Update Land Use Elements