































unanswered questions

- How and when will the West Coast Regional Planning Body organize?
- What are the key objectives of planning?
- How much does a CMSP process cost? Who will pay?
- How will the different state and federal planning efforts align?



Oregon's

Territorial Sea Plan and Marine Spatial Planning

Paul Klarin Department of Land Conservation and Development October 2011

Oregon's Territorial Sea (3nm)



Oregon TSP Amendment Process How we got here: Governor's Executive Order - March 2008

Oregon FERC MOU - March 2008 TSP Part 5 Adopted - November 2009* President's Executive Order – June 2009 Oregon BOEMRE Task Force – March 2011 * Phase 1 of the TSP amendment process

Oregon's ocean planning framework:

Statewide Planning Goal 19, Ocean Resources (mandates protection of important marine habitat <u>and</u> fisheries)

Oregon Ocean Resources Management Act (ORS 196.405) (creates state-ocean governance structure)

Oregon Territorial Sea Plan (TSP) (contains specific policies for state ocean management)

State Agency Authorities and Programs

Ocean Policy Advisory Council (OPAC)

Statewide Goal 19 Ocean Resources

PROTECT:

- Renewable Marine Resources i.e. Living Marine Organisms;
- Biological Diversity & Functional Integrity of Marine Ecosystems;
- Important Marine Habitat;
- Areas Important to Fisheries commercial and recreational;
- Beneficial Uses: Navigation, Recreation, Food Production, Aesthetic, Seafloor Uses.

Phase 2. Spatial Mapping Process

Data Collection and Tool Development Status

 Commercial and recreational fisheries data collected through local advisory committees for areas important to fisheries (Winter 2011)

 Ecological data: Oregon Dept Fish and Wildlife and The Nature Conservancy (Summer 2011)

Seafloor bathymetric and image data (Summer 2011)

Recreational ocean use: on-line surveys (Fall 2010)

Other spatial data on human uses, managed resources, physical conditions, and shoreland facilities (Fall 2010)

Oregon MarineMap (January 2011)

Oregon TSP Partnership

- State Agencies: DLCD/ODFW/DSL/OPRD
- Federal agencies: FERC, BOEM, NOAA, NMFS
- OCZMA: local governments, ports and special districts
- Community Advisory Committees (Renewable Energy) POORT, SOORC, FINE, FACT, NSAT, FOORC
 OWET
- OWET
- OPAC \ STAC
- Ecotrust
- Surfrider Foundation
- Conservation Community (TNC, OSCC, Our Ocean)























Using Oregon Marinemap	
Al Capa Mandala, Manan Janara (Japan) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	• [1] [1] [X] [3] (max
No View Constraints Constraints	Arrent (app.) AdoutCatantyAlantita) Table (app.) Addie Hermanya Ado Hermanya Ado Hermanya Adout (app.) Ado



















Formula for Success

Political & Policy Framework is in place:

- 25 years of state ocean planning:
- Statutory direction & expectations
- Ocean management policies (in state CZMP)
- Tested process (agencies, stakeholders)
- Literacy/expectations among the public

Technical Framework is in place:

- State agency science/technical capacityAcademic research capacity at OSU/UO, etc
- Technical expertise from NGO, university partners
- IT capacity within state CZM program

Partnerships, leveraging, and trust

Benefits of Marine Spatial Planning

For industry and stakeholders:

- Increases certainty for investments
- Reduces costs in time and effort at project scale
- Strengthens industry industry ties

For government:

- Promotes better decisions
- Streamlines, clarifies decision process Reduces the Oops! Factor

For public:

- Provides transparency
- Preserves wide range of public values

Conclusion:

Oregon's TSP Process

Takes time

Takes effort

Takes funding

But:

It beats the alternatives (e.g. settlement agreements, lawsuits and appeals), and it's a cost-effective means of doing business because it

- Improves certainty for private and public investments;

- Reduces (but does not eliminate) political blowback.

Ocean Renewable Energy and Decision Making

Paul Manson, Hatfield School of Government

















































