

Bellevue Mobility Implementation Plan

October 12, 2022

Ariel Davis, AICP Fehr & Peers Loreana Marciante Bellevue Transportation Commission Kevin McDonald, AICP Bellevue Transportation Department

2022 APAWA Annual Conference

October 11–13 Vancouver, Washington

Mobility Implementation Plan (MIP)

Speaker Introductions



Ariel Davis, Fehr & Peers



Loreana Marciante, Bellevue Transportation Commission

Kevin McDonald, Bellevue Transportation Department

Presentation Overview



- A Policy Evolution
- Content of the MIP
- Multimodal Concurrency











About Bellevue



Incorporated 1953 Population: 5,500 Growth: 147 annexations + infill **Statistics 2022 Population:** ~150,000 Jobs: ~150,000 **Comprehensive Plan Update (2044)** +/>35,000 Housing Units +/>70,000 Jobs







2044

Mobility Policy Evolution

Comprehensive Plan 1989

Traveling on arterials should not be too inconvenient, time consuming, or unsafe

Comprehensive Plan 1993

Establish (vehicle) level of service standards in each area of the city in light of growth management objectives

Comprehensive Plan 2015

Establish Multimodal Level of Service measures, standards and targets

Comprehensive Plan 2021

Adopt and implement multimodal concurrency





Toward a Multimodal Approach for Bellevue



Bellevue Transportation Commission Work

Mobility Implementation Plan 2022 Awards

Bellevue wins VISION 2050 Award

f У in



STATE-OF-WASHINGTON₁

DEPARTMENT·OF·COMMERCE¶

1011 ·Plum ·Street ·SE · • ·PO ·Box ·42525 · • ·Olympia, ·Washington ·98504-2525 · • (360) ·725-4000¶

www.commerce.wa.gov¶

Congratulations 2022 · Governor's · Smart · Communities · Award · Winner



Mobility Implementation Plan



Transportation Commission Role

- Direction from Council
 - Prepare policy recommendations for multimodal concurrency
 - Prepare recommendation for Mobility Implementation Plan
 - 22 Study Sessions: All Zoom Webinar
 - Information
 - Discussion
 - Direction
 - Public Engagement
 - "Engaging Bellevue" Questionnaire
 - Briefings to stakeholders

Mobility Implementation Plan Goals

- Safety: Eliminate serious injuries and fatalities from crashes (Vision Zero)
- Equity: Design and prioritize projects to address equitable access
- Growth: Support growth and accommodate multimodal travel
- Access/Mobility: Complete the transportation system to provide mobility



Land Use

Intensity and mix of uses

Pedestrian

• Along arterials and across arterials

Bicycle

 Network defined in the Pedestrian and Bicycle Transportation Plan

Vehicle

 Primary Vehicle Corridors and System Intersections

Transit

 Frequent Transit Network and Transit Stops from Transit Master Plan



Integrated System

 Reveals potential modal/land use compatibilities and conflicts

Performance Management Areas

Type 1 PMA

- High Growth/Urban Core
- Served by Light Rail

Downtown, BelRed, Wilburton/East Main

Type 2 PMA

- Mixed-Use/Commercial Activity Centers
- Served by Frequent Transit Network Crossroads, Eastgate and Factoria

Type 3 PMA

- Largely residential areas/commercial nodes/pedestrian destinations
- Transit service on arterials



Metrics describe **what is measured** for each mode: Physical metrics & Functional metrics **Pedestrian**

- Sidewalk Width (including landscape strip)
- Arterial Crossing Spacing: Intersections, Mid-block
 Bicycle
- Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) on Bike Network
 Transit
- Travel Time Ratio and Bus Stop Amenities
 Vehicle
- Travel Speed on Primary Vehicle Corridors
- V/C Ratio at System Intersections





Performance Targets

- Performance Target relates to how the user experiences the transportation system
- Monitoring and forecasting performance reveals Performance Target Gaps
 - Meets Performance Target: good to go
 - Exists but does not meet Performance Target: facility deficient
 - Does not meet Performance Target: facility absent
- Performance Target Gap
 - Candidate location for project investment
 - Does not prescribe a specific project investment or ultimate performance outcome
 - Project Identification and Prioritization process will inform project candidates for the Transportation Facilities Plan

Pedestrian Mode

Sidewalk Dimensions Context Downtown / Neighborhood Elsewhere in Activity Pedestrian BelRed Center Shopping Center Destination* the City Component 13 ft. total on Downtown frontage of Bellevue Land 13 ft. total on Sidewalk Land Use pedestrian Use Code Width and frontage adjacent Code 16 ft. total destination to shopping Landscape Transportation and within BelRed Land Buffer Width center Design Manual 100 ft. of a Use Code FTN stop

Arterial Crossing Spacing

Context	Downtown /	Activity	Neighborhood	Pedestrian	Elsewhere in	
Component	Beiked	Center	Shopping Center	Destination	the City	
Spacing Between Arterial Pedestrian Crossings	Downtown Transportation Plan (300 ft.)	≤ 800 ft.: Factoria ≤ 600 ft.: Elsewhere	One crossing every 600 ft. or less within shopping center area	Within 600 feet of primary entrance Within 300 ft. of bus stop pair on FTN	Applicable as needed	





Bicycle Mode

- Level of Traffic Stress (LTS)
- Traffic volume and speed limit plus bicycle facility

Roadway Characteristics		Bicycle Facility Components: Guideline to Achieve Intended Level of Service/Level of Traffic Stress					
Speed Limit	Arterial Traffic Volume	No Marking	Sharrow Lane Marking	Striped Bike Lane	Buffered Bike Lane (Horizontal)	Protected Bike Lane (Vertical)	Physically Separated Bikeway
=25</th <th><3k</th> <th>1</th> <th>1</th> <th>1</th> <th>1</th> <th>1</th> <th>1</th>	< 3k	1	1	1	1	1	1
	3-7k	3	3	2	1	1	1
	>/=7k	3	3	2	2	1	1
30	<10k	3	3	2	2	1	1
	10-25k	4	4	3	3	2	1
	>/=25k	4	4	3	3	3	1
35	<25k	4	4	3	3	3	1
	>/=25k	4	4	4	3	3	1
>35	Any	4	4	4	4	3	1



Transit Mode

• Transit Travel Time Ratio

- Relative to auto travel time between Activity Centers on the Frequent Transit Network
- Amenities at Frequent Transit Network Stops





Context Component		Frequent Transit Network Stop/ RapidRide Stop		
Se	ating	Yes		
Pa Pa	ved Bus Door ssenger Zone	Yes, Zone length 60 ft.		
Wa	ayfinding	Yes		
Bio	cycle Parking	Yes		

Vehicle Mode

- Corridor Travel Speed
 - On Primary Vehicle Corridors
- Volume/Capacity Ratio
 - At System Intersections



Performance Targets for Each Mode

Mode	F	Monitoring and Reporting	
Pedestrian	 Sidewalk on be dimensions va Arterial crossi trip-generating crossings varie 	Percentage of sidewalk network complete citywide and for locations within each PMA	
Bicycle	Bicycle network fa meet the intended	Percentage of bicycle network complete citywide and for locations by PMA	
Transit	 Transit travel time ratio of 2.0 or less Stops on the Frequent Transit Network have passenger amenities 		List and map of activity center pairs that meet the travel time ratio Performance Target; Percent of bus stops on the FTN that include all five passenger amenities
Vehicle	Type 1 PMA High Density Mixed-UseType 2 PMA Medium Density Mixed-UseType 3 PMA Residential	 1.0 V/C ratio at System Intersections ≥0.5 Typical Urban Travel Speed for Primary Vehicle Corridors 0.90 V/C ratio at System Intersections ≥0.75 Typical Urban Travel Speed for Primary Vehicle Corridors 0.85 V/C ratio at System Intersections ≥0.9 Typical Urban Travel Speed 	List and map of Primary Vehicle Corridors and System Intersections that meet the PMA Performance Target

Performance Targets

Figure 15: Pedestrian Network Performance – Existing Lake Sammamish E Allen Rd Lake Washington

Pedestrian Network

Citywide		Sidewalk on Both Sides	Sidewalks on One Side	Sidewalk Gaps
Miles		77	44	17
Proportio	n of Total	56%	32%	12%
_		Cidewalltean	Cidewalke en	Cidewalk
Locations wi	thin the PMA	Both Sides	One Side	Gaps
	Downtown 95%		5%	0%
Гуре 1 High Density Mixed-Use	BelRed	86%	8%	6%
	Wilburton/ East Main	75%	25%	0%
Type 2 Medium Density	Crossroads	100%	0%	0%
	Eastgate	29%	63%	8%
Mixed-Use	Factoria	70%	28%	2%

47%

37%

Type 3 Residential

N

16%

Sidewalk

Exists on both sides of roadway Missing on one side of roadway

Missing on both

sides of roadway

Performance Targets – Bicycle Network



MOBILITY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

			Facilities that Meet LTS	Facilities Do Not Meet LTS	Facility Gaps
vide	Miles		72	33	33
City	Proportion of Total		52%	24%	24%
	Turne 1	Downtown	27%	36%	37%
g	High Density	BelRed	37%	8%	55%
it Arc	Mixed-Use	Wilburton/East Main	47%	14%	38%
orma	Type 2	Crossroads	1%	59%	40%
Perf	Medium Density	Eastgate	60%	24%	16%
Σ	Mixed-Use	Factoria	58%	27%	15%
	Туре З І	Residential	57%	25%	18%
	Enatai-N	orthtowne	93%	7%	0%
	Lake Washington Loop		65%	25%	10%
	Eastrail		23%	0%	77%
	Somerset-Redmond		62%	17%	21%
Ŀ	Spiritridge-Sammamish		44%	56%	0%
rity Corrid	West Lake Sammamish Pkwy		25%	75%	0%
Prie	SR 520 Trail		77%	23%	0%
ä	Downtown-Overlake		41%	10%	49%
	Lake-to-	Lake-to-Lake Trail		21%	38%
	Mountains to Sound Greenway		32%	26%	42%
	Coal Creek-Co	Coal Creek-Cougar Mountain		39%	6%
	Total		50%	28%	22%

Performance Targets – Transit Network





Performance Targets – Vehicle Network







Addressing a Performance Target Gap

- The Need
 - Many Performance Target Gaps
- The Constraint
 - Limited \$ and staff resources to address all the Performance Target Gaps
- The Framework
 - Identify Performance Target Gaps
 - Identify project concepts to address Gaps
 - Screen project concepts through MIP Goals
 - Equity, Safety, Growth, Access
 - Advance top candidates to project concept design
 - **Prioritize** project list by mode to inform Transportation Facilities Plan



Project Identification and Prioritization

Framework to Identify and Address Performance Target Gaps



MOBII

Step 1. Identify Network Gaps

IDENTIFY NETWORK GAP

Purpose: Identify where the performance of the transportation system does not meet the defined Performance Targets.

Outcome: Map and list of network Performance Target Gaps by mode.



Step 2. Screen Network Gaps

Purpose: Screen Performance Target Gaps for projects that align with MIP Goals and determine if the project should move forward with project concept development

Outcome: Narrowed list of Performance Target Gap project concepts for public review.

SCREEN NETWORK GAPS

ALIGN WITH MIP GOALS AND SCREEN FOR FATAL FLAWS



Step 2.1 Screen Network Projects

SCREEN NETWORK GAPS

ALIGN WITH MIP GOALS AND SCREEN FOR FATAL FLAWS



Assess Network Performance Target Gap Projects against MIP Goals



Step 2.2 Screen Network Projects

Engage the Public/Commission

- What Performance Target Gaps should the City invest in?
- Are there transportation needs other than the Goals of the MIP, that should be considered when Performance Target Gap projects are being screened?



ALIGN WITH MIP GOALS AND SCREEN FOR FATAL FLAWS





Mobility Implementation Plan Questionnaire

f y 🖻 🗹

QUESTIONNAIRE CLOSED: Thank to everyone who gave feedback to as we develop the Mobility Implementation Plan. This questionnaire is now closed. Results will be posted at BellevueWA.gov/mobilityplan.

Thank you for visiting the city of Bellevue Mobility Implementation Plan (MIP) community questionnaire. The MIP is a new long-range planning framework being developed to ensure that several Bellevue transportation plans are compatible with each other and with the city's land use plan.

The MIP will help the city make decisions on project investments based on what Bellevue's transportation system users of all ages and abilities need to reach their destinations, whether they are walking, biking, driving or taking transit. It will ensure that our transportation system keeps up with growth by providing equity and sustainability to bring a greater balance of investment and distribution for all modes to transportation. For more information, visit <u>BellevueWA.gov/mobility-plan.</u>

As someone who lives, works, studies and visits Bellevue, we'd appreciate your perspectives and priorities for Bellevue's transportation system, as it changes to accommodate projected rapid growth in both employment and population. Your input will be shared with the <u>Transportation Commission</u>, which is developing the MIP.

Responding to this questionnaire should take approximately 7-10 minutes. Following questions about our transportation system, there are voluntary demographic questions. Look for future opportunities to give input on this EngagingBellevue.com site. Should you need this questionnaire provided in another language, please contact the staff person listed to the right.

This questionnaire will be open through Friday, August 13, 2021.

Step 2.3. Screen Network Projects

Screen Performance Target Gap Projects

- The Performance Target Gap project advances MIP Goals?
- The Performance Target Gap project advances a mobility network priority
- If the Performance Target Gap project is not being advanced to the next step, why?
 - Coordinate with another infrastructure project
 - Coordinate with development review

Outcome: Narrowed list of projects to address Performance Target gaps to develop project concepts.

SCREEN NETWORK GAPS

ALIGN WITH MIP GOALS AND SCREEN FOR FATAL FLAWS



Step 3. Develop Project Concepts

Purpose: Develop project concepts to address Performance Target Gaps that align with MIP goals and community input.

DEVELOP IMPROVEMENT CONCEPTS

Outcome: Vetted project concepts that address Performance Target Gaps, achieve MIP Goals, meet public needs, are environmentally sustainable, are implementable, and can be incorporated into funding programs.



Step 4. Screen for Implementation

Purpose: Develop a prioritized project list that addresses Performance Target Gaps and supports planned growth.

Outcome: Prioritized project list for future planning/funding/implementation.

- Transportation Facilities Plan: Financial constraints are applied
- Other Programs: Neighborhood
 Sidewalks, Downtown Transportation Plan,
 Neighborhood Traffic Safety

SCREEN FOR IMPLEMENTATION



Mobility Implementation Plan - Equity

Goal: Consider Equity

Identify and prioritize projects to address equitable access

- 10 Equity Components
- Each component is described and mapped
- Aggregate of all components is mapped
- Components are weighted equally (not weighted at all)

Equity Index Component	General Relationship to Transportation
Housing costs as percentage of income (renter-occupied)	People who are "housing cost burdened" tend to have less income to spend on transportation (even if they are not classified as low-income) and therefore tend to drive less and rely more on other modes.
Limited English proficiencyhouseholds	Limited English proficiency households (even when controlling for income) tend to travel more by walking, biking, and transit.
Low-income households	Lower income households tend to drive less as the cost of operating a vehicle presents a substantial burden; this group tends to walk, bicycle, and use transit more than higher-income households.
Low-wage jobs (based on job location)	The location of low-wage jobs tends to indicate that employees rely more on walking, biking, and transit to reach their job since the cost of drivingand parking can consume a substantial proportion of their wages.
People of color	Across the country, people of color (even when controlling for income), tend to travel more by walking, biking, and transit.
People over age 64	Older people may require additional accommodations (e.g., longer pedestrian phases at intersections) and tend to drive less than other populations.
People under age 18	16-18 year-olds tend to drive at a lower rate than other groups and use other modes more often.
People with a disability	People with a disability may require additional or specific accommodations (e.g., audible pedestrian signals or curbramps) and tend to drive less than other populations.
Single-parent households	Single-parent households tend to have less income to spend on transportation and also tend to be more schedule constrained. These households may still own a car, but drive less to save money.
Zero-vehicle households	These households may not have regular access to a privatevehicle and either by choice or other factors tend to drive less and use other modes more.

MIP - Equity

All Equity Index components mapped separately and in composite

- Used for initial screening in Project Identification and Prioritization
 - Screening for Equity, along with the other MIP Goals, will inform the project concepts recommended to be included in each update of the Transportation Facilities Plan



Multimodal Concurrency

Foundations

• GMA, Best Practices in Washington, Bellevue Policy

Bellevue Transportation Concurrency Standard

Mobility Units Supply > Mobility Units of Demand

Mobility Units of Supply

Supply is provided by projects of all modes

- Supply is **planned** (12-years) in the TFP
- Supply is **created** (6-years) in the CIP

Mobility Units of Demand

Demand is expressed as person trips

- Demand is **forecast** in the Comprehensive Plan
- Demand is **generated** by land use permit applications



Multimodal Concurrency

Supply	Demand		
Transportation Projects	Development Projects		
4 miles sidewalk 5 midblock crossings		100-unit condominium	
5 miles protected bike lane 2 bike signals	H	1 million square foot office building	
2 bus stops with crossing improvements Transit signal priority at 3 intersections		i mittion square foot onice building	
4 turn lanes 4 new lane miles		250,000 square feet retail	
10,000 Mobility Units "Supply" to support "Demand" from Growth	6,000 Mobility Units	Growth that "Demands" transportation "Supply" of all modes	

Concurrency is achieved and the Level-of-Service Standard is met when supply



≥

Demand

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN IOB

Multimodal Concurrency Implementation Guide

Multimodal Concurrency Code

Regulations establish the requirements and framework to allow the City to meet the Growth Management Act goal for the timely provision of transportation facilities needed to serve growth

Implementation Guide

Allows the Transportation Director to administer the concurrency program

- Transparent
- Consistent



Multimodal Concurrency Implementation Guide



Multimodal Concurrency Implementation Guide

Available Mitigation Options if Supply not Available



Applicant may:

- Reduce the size of the proposed development project to reduce Demand.
- Delay the project until more Supply is available

The Director may approve an applicant proposal to:

- Purchase Supply
- Construct a project approved to add Supply (from the TFP project list)
- Implement additional Transportation Demand Management strategies to reduce Demand





Mobility Implementation Plan

Questions?



Thank You!

Ariel Davis, Loreana Marciante, Kevin McDonald

For More Information Kevin McDonald kmcdonald@bellevuewa.gov 425-452-4558 **Please visit the**

Mobility Implementation Plan web site